Application No. 10/673,235

Reply to Office Action of June 16, 2006

Docket No.: A8130.0024/P024-A

REMARKS

Claim 8 has been amended to delete the term "slotted" before rib on lines 3

and 10.

The change to claim 8 in the Examiner's Amendment, inserting -- slotted --

after "one" on line 3 of claim 8 to provide an antecedent basis for the term "the at least

one slotted rib" recited on line 10 is not acceptable to applicant. Instead, to eliminate

the antecedent basis problem, the term "slotted" should have been deleted from line 10

as set forth in the present Rule 312 Amendment. Based upon the Office Action mailed

June 16, 2006, the term "slotted," a structural limitation, is not necessary to distinguish

method claim 8 (as amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 10) over the prior

art.

Prompt entry of the present Rule 312 Amendment is respectfully requested.

Any questions concerning this Amendment should be directed to the undersigned.

Dated: December 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen A. Soffen

Registration No.: 31,063

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicants

3